

Cabinet Meeting Resolution

Executive
Forward Plan
Reference

E2379

Various Roads, Bath 2011, Traffic Regulation Order

Date of Meeting	13-Jun-12
The Issue	<i>TRO containing approximately 27 individual proposals which implement a parking restriction for safety and/or obstruction matters. A Cabinet decision is necessary because objections were received.</i>
The decision	<p>(1) To AGREE that the proposals are implemented, modified or withdrawn as below:</p> <p>(i) Prohibit and restrict parking in lengths of road in Bath:</p> <p><u>Ayr Street / Stuart Place:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received. The changes will improve road safety at the junction.</p> <p><u>Bedford Street:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received. The changes will improve road safety at the turning head.</p> <p><u>Beechen Cliff Road:</u> That the proposals are modified to reflect the feedback from the public consultation by removing the proposal to implement Double Yellow Lines on the south side of the road. The Double Yellow Lines proposed for the northern side of the road are implemented as advertised.</p> <p><u>Bradford Road / Greendown Place:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received. The changes will improve road safety at the junction and increase availability of parking by reducing the Single Yellow Line restriction in this location to Monday to Friday rather than the existing Monday to Saturday.</p> <p><u>Bruton Avenue:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received. The changes will improve road safety at the junctions.</p> <p><u>Caledonian Road:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received. The changes will improve road safety at the junction.</p> <p><u>Claremont Buildings:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received. The changes will improve traffic movement by protecting the turning head.</p> <p><u>Church Street:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received to increase road safety and traffic flow.</p> <p><u>Englishcombe Lane / Sabin Close:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received. The changes will improve road safety at the junction and improve traffic safety in Englishcombe Lane.</p> <p><u>Fairfield Park Road:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received. The changes will improve road safety.</p>

Frankly buildings / Tynning Lane / Snow Hill: That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received. The changes will improve road safety at the junctions and improve traffic flow and safety on Tynning Lane.

Gloucester Road / Bailbrook Lane: That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received. The changes will improve road safety at the junction.

Gloucester Road / Alice Park: That the proposals are withdrawn and not implemented at this time due to public objections to the proposals. The support from some residents in the area is also acknowledged and location will be reassessed and a revised proposal will be advertised in due course.

Grosvenor Place: That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received. The changes will improve road safety at the junction.

Hayesfield Park: That the proposals are implemented as advertised. Objections were received to the proposal due to the loss of parking spaces but it is considered that the restrictions are necessary to ensure access and improve safety.

Junction Road: That the proposals are modified so that the Double Yellow Lines are implemented from the junction of Shaftsbury Road for a distance of 5 metres in a north easterly direction to protect the junction visibility and then reduce the proposal so that the Double Yellow Lines recommence at a point 27 metres from the junction for a 14.5 metres travelling in a north easterly direction to protect the entrance and garages rather than as a continuous restriction. This provides the best compromise between safety, access and allowing parking in the area.

Lower Bristol Road: That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

Monksdale Road: That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received. The changes will improve road safety and traffic flow by extending the existing Double Yellow Line restriction.

Newbridge Hill: That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received. The changes will improve road safety and traffic flow by extending the existing Double Yellow Line restriction.

Ragland Street / Ragland Lane: That the proposals are modified to reflect the feedback from the public consultation so the restrictions are implemented on the adopted length of Ragland Street from its junction with Ragland Lane for a distance of 6.5 metres in a northerly direction on the eastern side and from its junction with Ragland Lane for a distance of 4.5 metres in a northerly direction on the western side of the road. On Ragland Lane south side from a point 110 metres east of its junction with Solsbury Way for a distance of 3.8 metres in an easterly direction. The modified restrictions give improved visibility at the junction of Ragland Street to improve road safety whilst recognising the pressures of parking in the area.

Shaws Way / Newton Road: That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received. The changes will improve road safety at the junction by extending the existing Double Yellow Line

Cabinet Meeting Resolution

**Executive
Forward Plan
Reference**

E2379

	<p>restriction and installing additional Double Yellow Line restrictions on the opposite side of the junction.</p> <p><u>St Michaels Road / St Johns Road:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received. The changes will improve road safety at the junction and the entrance to the cemetery.</p> <p><u>Third Avenue / King Edward Road:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received. The changes will improve road safety at the junction by converting the existing Single Yellow Line Restriction to a Double Yellow Line restriction on to the northern side of the junction and installing an additional Double Yellow Line restriction on the southern side of the junction.</p> <p><u>Lane Behind Crescent Gardens:</u> That the proposals are withdrawn and not implemented at this time due to public objections to the proposals. The area will be monitored and reassess in the future.</p> <p><u>Lower Bristol Road / Wood Street:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received. The changes will improve road safety by converting the existing Single Yellow Line restriction to a Double Yellow Line.</p> <p><u>West Avenue / South Avenue / Triangle:</u> That the proposals be withdrawn so that further late information could be considered and so that a Single Member Cabinet decision can be made as soon as possible in response to all the relevant consultation responses.</p> <p><u>Warminster Road:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received to the specific Double Yellow Lines within the proposal which protect accesses to properties and garages. .</p> <p>(ii) Limit waiting in lengths of road in Bath:</p> <p><u>Beckford Road:</u> That the proposals are withdrawn and not implemented at this time due to public feedback. The area will be reassessed in due course.</p> <p><u>Lower Bristol Road:</u> That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.</p> <p><u>Warminster Road:</u> That the restrictions are implemented as proposed to improve the ability of parents to park by the school and discourage all day parking by commuters.</p>
<p>Rationale for decision</p>	<p>The recommended restrictions are proposed so as to avoid danger to persons or other traffic using the road or to prevent the likelihood of any such danger arising and to facilitate the passage on the road or any other road of any class or traffic.</p>
<p>Other options considered</p>	<p>To implement all restrictions as advertised This option was rejected based on the public feedback to the proposals as advertised.</p> <p>To not implement any of the schemes This option was rejected as the proposals, including those modified, improve the road safety, parking and traffic flow on the specified roads.</p>
<p>The Decision is subject to Call-In within 5 working days of publication of the decision</p>	